

<u>Huihuo Zheng</u>¹, Venkatram Vishwanath¹, Quincey Koziol², Houjun Tang², John Ravi², John Mainzer³, and Suren Byna²

¹Argonne National Laboratory, ²Berkeley National Laboratory, ³The HDF Group

huihuo.zheng@anl.gov

Nov 16th, 2022

HDF5 Cache VOL: Efficient and Scalable Parallel I/O through Caching

Data on Node-local Storage, Huihuo Zheng, et al, CCGrid 2022





Transparently integrating node-local storage into parallel I/O workflows

Typical HPC storage hierarchy



Polaris @ ALCF: NVMe (7.68 TB / node) Summit @ OLCF: GPFS + NVMe (1.6 TB / node) Fugaku @ RIKEN: Lustre + NVMe (1.6 TB / 16 nodes) Frontier @ OLCF: Lustre + NVMe (37PB total)

Node-local storage

- Local & private; no contention or job interference
 → more stable and scalable IO;
- Faster (larger aggregate bandwidth).
 Theta (w) Lustre: 650 GB/s, SSD: 3TB/s Summit (w) – GPFS: 2.5 TB/s, NVMe: 9.7 TB/s

Challenges

- No global namespace;
- Accessible only during job running;
- Limited system software support.

Cache VOL: using node-local storage as a cache





Using caching to improve data access

Caching in memory hierarchy

Page caching in I/O





- Write: the data is copied from the user's buffer into the page cache in DRAM. The actual writes to disk are done later.
- **Read:** data is read directly from the page cache in DRAM if it is cached there.

3



Parallel Write (H5Dwrite)



Parallel Read (H5Dread)

Targeting workloads with repeatedly reading the same dataset multiple times.

Create memory mapped files and attached virtual memory pointer to an MPI window

Node-local MPI_Win storage Reading data from MPI_Get 2. Caching data MPI_Put NLS using MPI Put using MPI Put Compute node RAM 1. Reading data from parallel file I/O w/o Caching Compute Compute Parallel file system system w/ Caching Compute I/O Compute Single shared HDF5 file

First time reading the data

Reading the data directly from node-local storage

5

Memory-mapped shared file system

other processes through MPI Window

Other processes read from or write to this

• Each process exposes a portion of its storage to

shared storage space through MPI_Put, MPI_Get.





Easy to adopt in the applications

1) Setting VOL connectors

export HDF5_PLUGIN_PATH=\$HDF5_VOL_DIR/lib export HDF5_VOL_CONNECTOR="cache_ext config=SSD.cfg;under_vol=518;under_info={under_vol=0;under_info={}}" export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=\$HDF5_PLUGIN_PATH:\$LD_LIBRARY_PATH

2) Enabling caching VOL

#contents of SSD.cfg	
HDF5_CACHE_STORAGE_SIZE	137438953472
HDF5_CACHE_STORAGE_TYPE	SSD
HDF5_CACHE_STORAGE_PATH	/local/scratch/
HDF5_CACHE_STORAGE_SCOPE	LOCAL
HDF5_CACHE_WRITE_BUFFER_SIZE	102457690
HDF5 CACHE REPLACEMENT POLICY	LRU

Opt. 1 Through global environment variables (HDF5_CACHE_RD / HDF5_CACHE_WR [yes|no]) Opt. 2 Through setting file access property: H5Pset_fap1_plist('HDF5_CACHE_RD', true)

3) Initializing MPI with MPI_Init_thread(..., MPI_THREAD_MULTIPLE...)

4) In some cases, rearranging the function calls to allow the overlap of computation with data migration (check our github repo for the examples and best practices)





Performance evaluation (VPIC-IO & CosmoFlow)



1250 Baseline **CosmoFlow** w/ Cache VOL 1000 Time (sec) 750 Dataset (8TB): (524288, 128, 128, 128, 4 500 250 0 2 7 8 3 Epoch

Observed VPIC-IO write rate on Theta and (Right) Summit. The number of time steps is 20. The write rate reported here is the average over the 20 timesteps. The emulated time is 200 seconds per time step on Theta. Each process writes check-points data (32MB x 8) to a shared file at each timestep

Improvement of training throughput by caching data on the node-local storage for CosmoFlow. The training were done on 16 DGX nodes with 128 Nvidia A100 GPUs on ThetaGPU. Each training step randomly read a minibatch of samples from a shared HDF5 file





Acknowledgment

- This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research, under contract number DE-AC02-05CH11231 (Project: Exascale Computing Project [ECP] - ExaHDF5 project).
- This research used resources of the Argonne Leadership Computing Facility, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported under Contract DE-AC02- 06CH11357.
- This research used resources of the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725.



