Gold Standard for macromolecular crystallography diffraction data



Herbert J. Bernstein Ronin Institute for Independent Scholarship

EUROPEAN HDF USERS GROUP SUMMER 2021 7 - 8 JULY 2021

Based on Herbert J. Bernstein, Andreas Förster, Asmit Bhowmick, Aaron S. Brewster, Sandor Brockhauser, Luca Gelisio, David R. Hall, Filip Leonarski, Valerio Mariani, Gianluca Santoni, Clemens Vonrhein, Graeme Winter (2020) "Gold Standard for macromolecular crystallography diffraction data", IUCrJ 7:5, ISSN: 2052-2525, <u>https://doi.org/10.1107/S2052252520008672</u>

Work Supported in part by Dectris Ltd, US Department of Energy Offices of Biological and Environmental Research and of Basic Energy Sciences (grant Nos. DE-AC02-98CH10886 and E-SC0012704), National Institutes of Health (grant Nos. P41RR012408, P41GM103473, P41GM111244, R01GM117126, P30GM133893 and R21GM129570) and the Hungarian government (grant No. GINOP 2.2.1-15-2016-00012)

Introduction

HDF5 and CBF are two heavily used formats for collection of data for macromolecular crystallography (MX) at synchrotrons.

Pilatus	Eiger	Gold
w/CBF	w/NeXus/HDF5	Standard
2007	2013	2020

DECTRIS Ltd has dominated the market for MX detectors, first with Pilatus detectors using CBF since 2007 and recently with Eiger detectors using NeXus/HDF5 since 2013. The Gold Standard is a common set of metadata for both imgCIF/CBF and NeXus/HDF5 NXmx which helps the community to follow Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability and Reusability (FAIR) principles.

Why Do This

- Macromolecular crystallography (MX) is the dominant means of determining the three-dimensional structures of biological macromolecules. Over the last few decades, most MX data have been collected at synchrotron beamlines using a large number of different detectors produced by various manufacturers and taking advantage of various protocols and goniometries. These data came in their own formats: sometimes proprietary, sometimes open.
- Efforts to reuse old data by other investigators or even by the original investigators some time later were often frustrated.
- This Gold Standard will facilitate the processing of data sets independent of the facility at which they were collected and enable data archiving according to FAIR principles, with a particular focus on interoperability and reusability.

The Gold Standard I

- In both CBF files and NeXus/HDF5 files, the information in a Gold Standard data set is the same: one or more diffraction-image data arrays of pixels along with sufficient metadata to allow software to determine exactly where in the laboratory coordinate system each pixel was located and when the intensity recorded in that pixel was recorded, so that the software can locate spots, index them and integrate them.
- For example, the conversion of pixel positions relative to the detector to reciprocal-space positions requires knowledge of the pixel size, the detector distance, the detector orientation, the wavelength and the beam center.

The Gold Standard II

In the past some of the metadata needed for this process might have been recorded in the same set of files as the image-data arrays and some of the necessary metadata might have been recorded elsewhere, for example in a laboratory notebook or in some separate electronic laboratory notebook

The Gold Standard III

In a Gold Standard data set, the necessary data and metadata for processing a reasonable range of use cases is recorded in the data set. This allows the data set to be moved freely to other filesystems in other facilities and still be processed without the need to return to the original facility to recover information that had been left behind. Although the data set will normally consist of multiple files, these files should be packaged together in an appropriate container, for example a single folder in the file system at the collecting facility or under a single DOI in a data-set repository.

The Gold Standard IV

The specification of which metadata need be retained with the data depends on the experiment being performed and the software that will be used for processing, *i.e.* the 'use case'. The Gold Standard being discussed here is intended to be adequate for single-axis rotation experiments at synchrotrons and stills collected at XFELs and synchrotrons and to work properly with the data-reduction programs DIALS (Waterman *et al.*, 2013; Winter *et al.*, 2018), XDS (Kabsch, 2010a,b), MOSFLM (Battye *et al.*, 2011), HKL-2000 (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997), the data processing pipelines xia2 (Winter, 2010) and autoPROC (Vonrhein *et al.*, 2011), as well as future versions of OnDA (Mariani *et al.*, 2016).

Elements of Gold Standard I

- imgCIF/CBF vs. NeXus/HDF5: In 1995, Andrew Hammersley proposed a 'Crystallographic Binary Format' which, after considerable discussion and revision, was adopted by the IUCr in 2005 (Bernstein, 2005; Bernstein & Hammersley, 2005; Ellis & Bernstein, 2005).
- The resulting 'imgCIF/CBF' format, metadata and supporting software was adopted by Dectris for the then-new PILATUS detector in 2007 (Powell *et al.*, 2007). In subsequent years it became clear that changes would be needed to this format to support higher data rates and institutional policies (Bernstein, 2010). For the Dectris EIGER detectors, CBF was integrated with the Hierarchical Data Format (HDF5) and became the new NeXus/HDF5 NXmx format (Donath *et al.*, 2013; Könnecke *et al.*, 2015; Hester, 2016; Bernstein, 2017). Everything in the NeXus version of the Gold Standard has an equivalent in imgCIF/CBF.

CBF and NeXus Coordinate Systems



What about Structure Factors

- This standard is focused on raw diffraction images rather than the structure factors, because, in modern MX data collection, diffraction images are the primary raw data and structure factors are derived data.
- Structure factors are very important, and, even if they are derived data they should of course be recorded, not least because since 2008 they have been mandatory for PDB depositions using the appropriate mmCIF definitions (Jiang *et al.*, 1999).
- If structure factors are available, they should be added to Gold Standard files for storage, archiving and deposition. In mmCIF the REFLN category is used. In NeXus/HDF5 the NXreflections category is used.

Where and When I

- While each data set should contain all of the data and metadata necessary for processing, it also should clearly identify where and when it was collected by specifying the scientific instrument or beamline and the facility at which it was collected and the times of collection.
- In the NXmx Gold Standard, the full name of the scientific instrument or beamline is carried in the /(entry):NXentry/(instrument):NXinstrument/name field and the name of the facility is carried in the /(entry):NXentry/(source):NXsource/name field.

Where and When II

- The commonly used acronyms or abbreviations of each of the names in these name fields are carried in the associated @short_name attributes.
- The full and precise UTC ISO 8601 (Wolf & Wicksteed, 1998) time/date of the first data point collected is carried in the /(entry):NXentry/ start_time field and an estimate of the likely time of collection of the last data point is carried in the /(entry):NXentry/end_time_estimated field.
- If/when the data collection is completed, the full and precise UTC ISO8601 time/date of the last data point collected is carried in the /(entry):NXentry/end_time field, provided that it is accurately observed. The time zone of the beamline is carried in the /(entry):NXentry/(instrument):NXinstrument/time_zone field so local times may be recovered.

Experimental Geometry I

- One of the most important sets of metadata used in processing is information on where the components of the experimental setup are positioned and oriented relative to one another. We need to precisely map the events recorded in a pixel to reciprocal space, which implies a need to know or infer the sample orientation, detector position and characteristics, beam wavelength and direction at the very least.
- Essentially, we need a blueprint of the experimental setup. The set of metadata used for this purpose both in CBF and in NeXus/HDF5 describes fixed or variable positioning axes in terms of directional vectors in nested lists with optional offset vectors between pairs of axes. For an experiment with both a detector and a sample goniometer, we need to provide the nested chains of axes that determine the position and orientation of the detector and of the sample.

Experimental Geometry II

- Axis chains: All axis chain definitions and axis settings necessary to process the data should be clearly and explicitly described. There are cases where the values for axis settings available at the time of data collection are only approximate. In such cases, updated or refined values may be added when later calibrations and refinements make them available. Both NeXus and CBF permit the declaration of 'variants' to record such cases.
- Axis names: The names used for particular axes are arbitrary, provided that they are used in a consistent manner, but it is good practice to use names that enhance rather than detract from understanding. In particular if 'Beam' is used as an axis name it should point in the direction going from the source to the sample, and if 'Source' is used as an axis name it should point in the direction going from the sample to the source. It is also best never to use the same axis name in two different contexts.

General Organization

- A NeXus/HDF5 Gold Standard file consists of a nested tree of groups.
- The outermost is NXentry, which contains the groups
 - NXdata, NXsample, NXinstrument and NXsource
 - NXsample contains the group
 - NXtransformations.
 - NXinstrument contains the groups
 - NXattenuator, NXdetector_group, NXdetector, and NXbeam.
 - NXdetector contains the groups
 - NXtransformations, NXcollection, and NXdetector_module
- For details on the standard, see the NXmx application definition

Group:NXentry field:title optional field:start_time field:end_time optional field:end_time_estimated field:definition

Group:NXdata field:data recommended

Group:NXsample field:name field:depends_on

Group:"NXtransformations" recommended

field:"temperature" optional

Group:NXinstrument field:name required field:time_zone recommended

Group:NXinstrument field:name required field:time_zone recommended

Group:NXattenuator optional field:attenuator_transmission optional

Group:NXdetector_group recommended field:group_names field:group_index field:group_parent

Group:NXdetector field:depends_on optional

Group:"NXtransformations" recommended

Group:NXcollection optional

Group:NXdetector field:depends_on optional

Group:"NXtransformations" recommended

Group:NXcollection optional

field:data recommended field:description recommended field:time_per_channel optional

Group:NXdetector_module required field:data_origin field:data_size field:data_stride optional field:module_offset optional @transformation_type @vector @offset @depends_on field:fast pixel direction

Group:NXdetector_module required field:data origin field:data size field:data_stride optional field:module_offset optional @transformation_type @vector @offset @depends_on field:fast_pixel_direction @transformation_type @vector @offset @depends_on field:slow_pixel_direction @transformation_type @vector @offset @depends_on

field:distance field:distance_derived recommended Bernstein_Gold Standard ... HUG 2021 7-8 Jul 2021



field:distance field:distance derived recommended field:dead time optional field:count time recommended field:beam center derived optional field:beam_center_x recommended field:beam center y recommended field:angular_calibration_applied optional field:angular calibration optional field:flatfield applied optional field:flatfield optional field:flatfield_error optional field:pixel mask applied optional field:pixel mask recommended field:countrate correction applied optional field:bit depth readout recommended field:detector readout time optional field:frame time optional field:gain setting optional

field:saturation_value optional field:underload_value optional field:sensor_material required field:sensor_thickness type required field:threshold_energy optional field:type optional

Group:NXbeam required field:incident_wavelength required field:incident_wavelength_weight optional field:incident_wavelength_spread optional

Group incident_wavelength_spectrum:Nxdata optional

field:flux optional field:total_flux required field:incident_beam_size recommended field:profile recommended field:incident_polarisation_stokes recommended

Group:NXsource field:name required @short name optional

Availability

Earlier versions of the NeXus NXmx application definition have been available since 2014 (<u>https://cdn.technologynetworks.com/TN/Resources/PDF/coping</u>-

with-big-data-image-formats-integration-of-cbf-nexus-and-hdf5-a-progress-report.pdf). This presentation is based on the newest HDRMX version of the NXmx application definition as it is being proposed to the NeXus International Advisory Committee (NIAC) for adoption by NIAC. That adoption process and discussions in the community are likely to result in additions to the Gold Standard as well as changes. The latest version prior to formal adoption is available from

http://github.com/HDRMX/definitions

- The HDRMX version will be updated as needed to reflect changes during and after adoption.
- To date, the applicability of the Gold Standard has been demonstrated both for single-axis rotation data at a synchrotron

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3484187

and for serial crystallography data at an XFEL

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3352357

 The imgCIF/CBF dictionary with NeXus mappings is in the CBFlib kit in <u>http://github.com/CBFlib/cbflib</u>

References I

(Battye *et al.*, 2011) Battye, T. G. G., Kontogiannis, L., Johnson, O., Powell, H. R. & Leslie, A. G. W. (2011). Acta Cryst. D67, 271 – 281.

(Bernstein, 2005) Bernstein, H. J. (2005). International Tables For Crystallography, Vol. G, edited by S. R. Hall & B. McMahon, pp. 199 – 205. Chester: International Union of Crystallography.

(Bernstein & Hammersley, 2005) Bernstein, H. J. & Hammersley, A. P. (2005). International Tables For Crystallography, Vol. G, edited by S. R. Hall & B. McMahon, pp. 37 – 43. Chester: International Union of Crystallography.

(Bernstein, 2010) Bernstein, H. J. (2010). HDF5 as Hyperspectral Data Analysis Format Workshop, 11 – 13 January 2010, ESRF, Grenoble, France.

(Bernstein, 2017) Bernstein, H. J. (2017). Acta Cryst. A73, a189.

References II

(Donath *et al.*, 2013) Donath, T., Rissi, M. & Billich, H. (2013). Synchrotron Radiat. News, 26, 34 – 35.

(Ellis & Bernstein, 2005) Ellis, P. J. & Bernstein, H. J. (2005). International Tables For Crystallography, Vol. G, edited by S. R. Hall & B. McMahon, pp. 544 – 556. Chester: International Union of Crystallography.

(Hester, 2016) Hester, J. (2016). Data Sci. J. 15, 12.

(Jiang *et al.*, 1999) Jiang, J., Abola, E. & Sussman, J. L. (1999). Acta Cryst. D55, 4.

(Kabsch, 2010a) Kabsch, W. (2010a). Acta Cryst. D66, 125 – 132.

(Kabsch, 2010b) Kabsch, W. (2010b). Acta Cryst. D66, 133 – 144.

References III

(Könnecke *et al.*, 2015) Könnecke , M., Akeroyd, F. A., Bernstein, H. J., Brewster, A. S., Campbell, S. I., Clausen, B., Cottrell, S., Hoffmann, J. U., Jemian, P. R., Männicke, D., Osborn, R., Peterson, P. F., Richter, T., Suzuki, J., Watts, B., Wintersberger, E. & Wuttke, J. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 301 – 305.

(Kroon-Batenburg & Helliwell, 2017) Kroon-Batenburg, L. M. J. & Helliwell, J. R. (2014). Acta Cryst. D70, 2502 – 2509.

(Mariani *et al.*, 2016) Mariani, V., Morgan, A., Yoon, C. H., Lane, T. J., White, T. A., O'Grady, C., Kuhn, M., Aplin, S., Koglin, J., Barty, A. & Chapman, H. N. (2016). J. Appl. Cryst. 49, 1073 – 1080.

(Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods Enzymol. 276, 307 – 326.

References IV

(Powell *et al.*, 2007) Powell, H., Leslie, A. & Battye, G. (2007). CCP4 Newsl. Protein Crystallogr. 46, contribution 1.

(Vonrhein *et al.*, 2011) Vonrhein, C., Flensburg, C., Keller, P., Sharff, A., Smart, O., Paciorek, W., Womack, T. & Bricogne, G. (2011). Acta Cryst. D67, 293 – 302.

(Waterman *et al.*, 2013) Waterman, D. G., Winter, G., Parkhurst, J. M., Fuentes-Montero, L., Hattne, J., Brewster, A., Sauter, N. K., Evans, G. & Rosenstrom, P. (2013). CCP4 Newsl. Protein Crystallogr. 49, 13–15.

(Winter, 2010) Winter, G. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 186–190.

(Winter *et al.*, 2018) Winter, G., Waterman, D. G., Parkhurst, J. M., Brewster, A. S., Gildea, R. J., Gerstel, M., Fuentes-Montero, L., Vollmar, M., Michels-Clark, T., Young, I. D., Sauter, N. K. & Evans, G. (2018). Acta Cryst. D74, 85 – 97.

References V

(Wolf & Wicksteed, 1998) Wolf, M. & Wicksteed, C. (1998). Status for Date and Time Formats. https://www.w3.org/1998/.status/NOTE-datetime-19980827/status.